

A plan to measure EUV resist contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Robert Berg, Charles Tarrio, Shannon Hill, Thomas Lucatorto
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, MD 20899 USA

Resist Outgas TWG
at the 2015 International Symposium on EUV
Maastricht, The Netherlands

Contamination of EUV optics

NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists

Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen


Contamination of EUV optics

NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists


Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen

Contamination on illumination optics mirror N1 (old vs. new)



Outgassing + EUV = contamination



Seven steps to contamination

1. EUV causes photochemistry in resist.
2. Resist outgases organic vapors.
3. Organics travels to mirror.
4. Organics adsorb onto mirror.
5. EUV cracks adsorbed organics.
6. Cracked organics stick to mirror.
7. Mirror loses reflectivity.

Contamination of EUV optics


NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists

Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen

The present: Testing in vacuum

Organics leave wafer, travel to chamber surfaces and then to witness sample.




Protocol for contamination testing (in vacuum)

Expose wafer and witness sample.

Measure carbon thickness.


Remove carbon.

Measure “uncleanables”.



graphic from E. Shiobara & I. Soichi (EIDEC)

The witness-sample test at NIST





Report

- thickness of carbon spot
- reflectivity loss

Ingredients

- synchrotron
- beamline vacuum chamber
- atomic hydrogen cleaner
- spectroscopic ellipsometer
- x-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS)



Procedure

- 1) Coat wafer with resist.
- 2) Measure resist dose-to-clear E_0
- 3) Expose wafer to E_0 for 1 hour.
- 4) Use spectroscopic ellipsometer to measure carbon growth.
- 5) Use atomic hydrogen cleaner to remove carbon growth.
- 6) Use XPS to measure residual “non-cleanable” elements.

Contamination of EUV optics


NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists

Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen

Trade-off between resist sensitivity and line-width roughness

Neisser et al., SPIE Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) Lithography (2015)



☹️☹️☹️ No big improvement ☹️☹️☹️

The case for tests in the presence of hydrogen

Facts:

- Recent progress with “traditional” chemically amplified resists has been slow.
- Non-traditional resists containing unusual elements, such as Hf, show promise.
- The interaction between unusual elements, EUV, and hydrogen is not well understood.

Hence...

It is necessary to measure EUV-induced resist contamination in the presence of hydrogen.

How much hydrogen?

pressure	typical in a scanner (1 mbar)
flow rate	enough to suppress outgassing of H ₂ O and O ₂

Contamination of EUV optics

NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists


Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen

The present: Testing in vacuum

Filter keeps organics out of mirror and synchrotron.


Organics leave wafer, travel to chamber surfaces and then to witness sample.



The plan: Test in the presence hydrogen

Contaminating vapors diffuse through hydrogen from wafer to witness sample.

Hydrogen flows through the chamber.



Hydrogen pressure and flow rate

How much hydrogen?

pressure

1 mbar

flow rate

enough to suppress outgassing of H₂O and O₂

Minimum pumping speed to suppress H₂O

$$\dot{V}_{\text{pumpMin}} = \frac{Q_{\text{water}}}{P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} = \frac{(6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar L s}^{-1})}{(1.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar})} = 6 \text{ L s}^{-1}$$


Corresponding flow rate

$$Q_{\text{H}_2\text{min}} = P \dot{V}_{\text{pumpMin}} = 6 \text{ mbar L s}^{-1} = 360 \text{ sccm}$$

Convection vs diffusion

Q: How much time is needed for outgas species to diffuse to the witness sample?

A: 0.03 s



$$t_D \equiv \frac{L^2}{D} = 0.03 \text{ s}$$

Q: How much time is needed for the H_2 flow to sweep out the volume L^3 ?

A: 0.8 s

$$t_{\text{flow}} \approx \left(\frac{L}{L_{\text{chamber}}} \right) \frac{V_{\text{chamber}}}{\dot{V}_{\text{pump}}} \approx \left(\frac{0.042 \text{ m}}{0.5 \text{ m}} \right) \frac{(56 \text{ L})}{(6 \text{ L s}^{-1})} = 0.8 \text{ s} \gg t_D$$

Convection is not a problem because $t_D \ll t_{\text{flow}}$



Protecting the NIST synchrotron (SURF III) from hydrogen


Facts:

- Exposing the SURF's ion pumps to a large hydrogen pressure will cause them to sporadically “burp” hydrogen afterwards, making SURF unusable.
- The ion pumps are integrated into the storage ring, so repairing them would require disassembling SURF.
- Disassembly and reassembly could take one year.


Hence...

SURF must be protected against a burst of hydrogen.

Protect the synchrotron




Protect the synchrotron




Protect the synchrotron

Shut the fast valve
if pressure in the beamline rises.



Protect the synchrotron

Stop the synchrotron's ion pumps if pressure in the beamline rises.



Summary

The contamination caused by EUV + resists + hydrogen is not well understood.

The concern is only with “non-traditional” resists that have unusual elements.

NIST is investigating the feasibility of contamination tests with hydrogen.

Hydrogen in the NIST synchrotron is a risk that must be addressed.

Acknowledgments

Noreen Harned, Par Broman, Sander Baltussen, Coen Verspaget, Oktay Yildirim, Anton van Dijsseldonk, and Raymond Maas at ASML for many interesting discussions